2. EXAMPLE BRIDGE

2.1 Bridge geometry and materials

Bridge superstructure geometry

| Superstructure type: | Reinforced concrete deck supported on simple span prestressed girders made continuous for live load. | |
|--------------------------|---|--|
| Spans: | Two spans at 110 ft. each | |
| Width: | 55'-4 ¹ / ₂ " total 52'-0" gutter line-to-gutter line (Three lanes 12'- 0" wide each, 10 ft. right shoulder and 6 ft. left shoulder. For superstructure design, the location of the driving lanes can be anywhere on the structure. For substructure design, the maximum number of 12 ft. wide lanes, i.e., 4 lanes, is considered) | |
| Railings: | Concrete Type F-Parapets, 1'- 8 1/4" wide at the base | |
| Skew: | 20 degrees, valid at each support location | |
| Girder spacing: | 9'-8" | |
| Girder type: | AASHTO Type VI Girders, 72 in. deep, 42 in. wide top flange and 28 in. wide bottom flange (AASHTO 28/72 Girders) | |
| Strand arrangement: | Straight strands with some strands debonded near the ends of the girders | |
| Overhang: | 3'-6 $\frac{1}{4}$ " from the centerline of the fascia girder to the end of the overhang | |
| Intermediate diaphragms: | For load calculations, one intermediate diaphragm, 10 in. thick, 50 in. deep, is | |

assumed at the middle of each span.

Figures 2-1 and 2-2 show an elevation and cross-section of the superstructure, respectively. Figure 2-3 through 2-6 show the girder dimensions, strand arrangement, support locations and strand debonding locations.

Typically, for a specific jurisdiction, a relatively small number of girder sizes are available to select from. The initial girder size is usually selected based on past experience. Many jurisdictions have a design aid in the form of a table that determines the most likely girder size for each combination of span length and girder spacing. Such tables developed using the HS-25 live loading of the AASHTO Standard Specifications are expected to be applicable to the bridges designed using the AASHTO-LRFD Specifications. The strand pattern and number of strands was initially determined based on past experience and subsequently refined using a computer design program. This design was refined using trial and error until a pattern produced stresses, at transfer and under service loads, that fell within the permissible stress limits and produced load resistances greater than the applied loads under the strength limit states. For debonded strands, S5.11.4.3 states that the number of partially debonded strands should not exceed 25 percent of the total number of strands. Also, the number of debonded strands in any horizontal row shall not exceed 40 percent of the strands in that row. The selected pattern has 27.2 percent of the total strands debonded. This is slightly higher than the 25 percent stated in the specifications, but is acceptable since the specifications require that this limit "should" be satisfied. Using the word "should" instead of "shall" signifies that the specifications allow some deviation from the limit of 25 percent.

Typically, the most economical strand arrangement calls for the strands to be located as close as possible to the bottom of the girders. However, in some cases, it may not be possible to satisfy all specification requirements while keeping the girder size to a minimum and keeping the strands near the bottom of the beam. This is more pronounced when debonded strands are used due to the limitation on the percentage of debonded strands. In such cases, the designer may consider the following two solutions:

- Increase the size of the girder to reduce the range of stress, i.e., the difference between the stress at transfer and the stress at final stage.
- Increase the number of strands and shift the center of gravity of the strands upward.

Either solution results in some loss of economy. The designer should consider specific site conditions (e.g., cost of the deeper girder, cost of the additional strands, the available under-clearance and cost of raising the approach roadway to accommodate deeper girders) when determining which solution to adopt.

Bridge substructure geometry

| Intermediate pier: | pier: Multi-column bent (4 - columns spaced at 14'-1") | |
|--------------------|--|--|
| | Spread footings founded on sandy soil | |
| | See Figure 2-7 for the intermediate pier geometry | |

End abutments: Integral abutments supported on one line of steel H-piles supported on bedrock. Uwingwalls are cantilevered from the fill face of the abutment. The approach slab is supported on the integral abutment at one end and a sleeper slab at the other end. See Figure 2-8 for the integral abutment geometry

Materials

| Concrete strength | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Prestressed girders: | Initial strength at tr | ansfer, $f'_{ci} = 4.8$ ksi | |
| | 28-day strength, f'_c | = 6 ksi | |
| Deck slab: | 4.0 ksi | | |
| Substructure: | 3.0 ksi | | |
| Railings: | 3.5 ksi | | |
| Concrete elastic modulus(calculated using S5.4.2.4)Girder final elastic modulus, E_c = 4,696 ksiGirder elastic modulus at transfer, E_{ci} = 4,200 ksiDeck slab elastic modulus, E_s = 3,834 ksi | | | |
| Reinforcing steel | | | |
| Yield strength, | $f_y = 60 \text{ ksi}$ | | |

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underline{Prestressing\ strands}\\ 0.5\ inch\ diameter\ low\ relaxation\ strands\ Grade\ 270\\ Strand\ area,\ A_{ps} &= 0.153\ in^2\\ Steel\ yield\ strength,\ f_{py} &= 243\ ksi\\ Steel\ ultimate\ strength,\ f_{pu} &= 270\ ksi\\ Prestressing\ steel\ modulus,\ E_p &= 28,500\ ksi \end{array}$

Other parameters affecting girder analysis

| Time of Transfer | = 1 day |
|------------------|----------|
| Average Humidity | = 70% |



Figure 2-1 – Elevation View of the Example Bridge



Figure 2-2 – Bridge Cross-Section

2.2 Girder geometry and section properties

Basic beam section properties

| Beam length, L | = 110 ft. $- 6$ in |
|--|--------------------------|
| Depth | = 72 in. |
| Thickness of web | = 8 in. |
| Area, A _g | $= 1,085 \text{ in}^2$ |
| Moment of inertia, Ig | $= 733,320 \text{ in}^4$ |
| N.A. to top, y _t | = 35.62 in. |
| N.A. to bottom, y _b | = 36.38 in. |
| Section modulus, S _{TOP} | $= 20,588 \text{ in}^3$ |
| Section modulus, S _{BOT} | $= 20,157 \text{ in}^3$ |
| CGS from bottom, at 0 ft. | = 5.375 in. |
| CGS from bottom, at 11 ft. | = 5.158 in. |
| CGS from bottom, at 54.5 ft. | = 5.0 in. |
| P/S force eccentricity at 0 ft., e_{0} , | = 31.005 in. |
| P/S force eccentricity at 11 ft., e_{11} | = 31.222 in. |
| P/S force eccentricity at 54.5 ft, e _{54.5} , | = 31.380 in. |

Interior beam composite section properties

| Effective slab width | = 111 in. (see calculations in Section 2.3) |
|----------------------|---|
| Deck slab thickness | = 8 in. (includes $\frac{1}{2}$ in. integral wearing surface which is not included in the |
| | calculation of the composite section properties) |

| Haunch depth | = 4 in. (maximum value - notice that the haunch depth varies along the beam length and, hence, is ignored in calculating section properties but is considered when determining dead load) |
|--|---|
| Moment of inertia, I _c | $= 1,384,254 \text{ in}^4$ |
| N.A. to slab top, y_{sc} | = 27.96 in. |
| N.A. to beam top, y_{tc} | = 20.46 in. |
| N.A. to beam bottom, y_{bc} | = 51.54 in. |
| Section modulus, S _{TOP SLAB} | $= 49,517 \text{ in}^3$ |
| Section modulus, S _{TOP BEAM} | $= 67,672 \text{ in}^3$ |
| Section modulus, S _{BOT BEAM} | $= 26,855 \text{ in}^3$ |

Exterior beam composite section properties

| Effective Slab Width | = 97.75 in. (see calculations in Section 2.3) |
|--|---|
| Deck slab thickness | = 8 in. (includes ½ in. integral wearing surface which is not included in the calculation of the composite section properties) |
| Haunch depth | = 4 in. (maximum value - notice that the haunch depth varies along the beam length and, hence, is ignored in calculating section properties but is considered when determining dead load) |
| Moment of inertia, I _c | $= 1,334,042 \text{ in}^4$ |
| N.A. to slab top, y_{sc} | = 29.12 in. |
| N.A. to beam top, y_{tc} | = 21.62 in. |
| N.A. to beam bottom, y_{bc} | = 50.38 in. |
| Section modulus, S _{TOP SLAB} | $=45,809 \text{ in}^3$ |
| Section modulus, S _{TOP BEAM} | $= 61,699 \text{ in}^3$ |

Section modulus, $S_{BOT BEAM} = 26,481 \text{ in}^3$



Figure 2-3 – Beam Cross-Section Showing 44 Strands



Figure 2-4 – General Beam Elevation









⊕ - Debonded Strand

For location of Sections A-A, B-B and C-C, see Figure 2-5

Figure 2-6 – Beam at Sections A-A, B-B, and C-C



Figure 2-7 – Intermediate Bent



Figure 2-8 – Integral Abutment

2.3 Effective flange width (S4.6.2.6)

Longitudinal stresses in the flanges are distributed across the flange and the composite deck slab by inplane shear stresses, therefore, the longitudinal stresses are not uniform. The effective flange width is a reduced width over which the longitudinal stresses are assumed to be uniformly distributed and yet result in the same force as the non-uniform stress distribution if integrated over the entire width.

The effective flange width is calculated using the provisions of S4.6.2.6. See the bulleted list at the end of this section for a few S4.6.2.6 requirements. According to S4.6.2.6.1, the effective flange width may be calculated as follows:

For interior girders:

The effective flange width is taken as the least of the following:

| • | One-quarter of the effective span length | = 0.25(82.5)(12) = 247.5 in. |
|---|---|---------------------------------|
| • | 12.0 times the average thickness of the slab, <u><i>plus</i></u> the greater of the web thickness or | = 12(7.5) + 8 = 104 in. |
| | one-half the width of the top flange of the girder | = 12(7.5) + 0.5(42) $= 111 in.$ |
| • | The average spacing of adjacent beams | = 9 ft 8 in. or 116 in. |

The effective flange width for the interior beam is 111 in.

For exterior girders:

The effective flange width is taken as one-half the effective width of the adjacent interior girder <u>plus</u> the least of:

| • | One-eighth of the effective span length | = 0.125(82.5)(12) = 123.75 in. |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| • | 6.0 times the average thickness of the slab, <u><i>plus</i></u> the greater of half the web thickness | = 6.0(7.5) + 0.5(8) = 49 in. |
| | or one-quarter of the width of the top flange of the basic girder | = 6.0(7.5) + 0.25(42) = 55.5 in. |

• The width of the overhang

= 3 ft.- 6 ¹/₄ in. or <u>42.25 in.</u>

Therefore, the effective flange width for the exterior girder is:

(111/2) + 42.25 = 97.75 in.

Notice that:

- The effective span length used in calculating the effective flange width may be taken as the actual span length for simply supported spans or as the distance between points of permanent dead load inflection for continuous spans, as specified in S4.6.2.6.1. For analysis of I-shaped girders, the effective flange width is typically calculated based on the effective span for positive moments and is used along the entire length of the beam.
- The slab thickness used in the analysis is the effective slab thickness ignoring any sacrificial layers (i.e., integral wearing surfaces)
- S4.5 allows the consideration of continuous barriers when analyzing for service and fatigue limit states. The commentary of S4.6.2.6.1 includes an approximate method of including the effect of the continuous barriers on the section by modifying the width of the overhang. Traditionally, the effect of the continuous barrier on the section is ignored in the design of new bridges and is ignored in this example. This effect may be considered when checking existing bridges with structurally sound continuous barriers.
- Simple-span girders made continuous behave as continuous beams for all loads applied after the deck slab hardens. For two-equal span girders, the effective length of each span, measured as the distance from the center of the end support to the inflection point for composite dead loads (load is assumed to be distributed uniformly along the length of the girders), is 0.75 the length of the span.